

## **Dispute Resolution Commission**

Quarterly Meeting Friday, May 17, 2019 10:00 AM

# NC Judicial Center Raleigh, NC

Diann Seigle, acting Chair, called the meeting to Order

Commission Members present: Wood, Evans, Isley, Clare, Griffiths, Seigle, Nease Brown, Marcilliat, Farris, and Hill.

Ex-Officio Members present: Norelli, Schaffer, Estle, Leazer, and Craig.

Staff present: Robinson and Kozlowski.

Guests present: Andy Little, Richard Igou, Frances Henderson, and Scott Goulet.

With regrets, Commission Members not present: Webb, Hicks, Tyson, Gottlieb, Knight, Nadolski, and Ponton.

A quorum was present at all times during the meeting.

## 1. **Welcome and Announcements** – Diann Seigle

- a. No announcements.
- b. Approval of March Minutes Diann Seigle
  - i. Corrections made to the March 1, 2019 minutes.
  - ii. Evans made a motion to approve the amended March 1, 2019 meeting minutes. Marcilliat seconded. Vote all in favor. Approved.

## 2. **Office Report** – Ms. Kozlowski

- a. Update regarding rule changes from the Supreme Court.
  - i. I spoke with Grant Buckner with the Supreme Court on April 3<sup>rd</sup>. There has been a slight delay with the changing of the guards, but our rules are currently under review. The rules, once adopted, will be posted and available on the NC Supreme Court Website. Additionally, they are moving toward formatting the DRC rules in the same manner as other rules in order to show consistency across the state. I am very excited this task is going to be done for us, as the current formatting is troublesome.
- b. DRC Staff presentations.

- i. Kozlowski spoke at the NCBA DR Section's annual meeting in March. She also had the opportunity to teach the Rules for 2 hours at an MSC training class.
- c. AOC's position on enhancements to new applications renewal period.
  - All program enhancements have been frozen at the AOC with the anticipation of the eCourts project. I requested, and was granted, 10 minutes in front of the eCourts Steering Committee and they agreed to allow our project to move forward as it will not be impacted by eCourts. We are set to go live July 1 the new application will allow mediators to self-report their CME when they renew.
  - ii. Ms. Robinson has been working closely with the AOC IT team to get this application rolled out for the FY19-20 renewal period.
  - iii. The DRC will keep a 5-year CME history for each mediator on their profile page. The DRC still must approve all renewal application; however, this new application allows the renewal applicant to self-report their CME during the renewal process.

#### d. DRC Projects.

- i. Program Brochures.
  - 1. All brochures have been updated and translated into Spanish—they look beautiful, Ms. Robinson has put a lot of work into them!
- ii. Pro Se Brochures and revised Pro Se Guidelines. With the guidance of Susan Hicks, staff has been working on a few ways to help educate pro se parties regarding mediation and the ability to file a petition for relief of fees.
  - 1. Pro Se Information booklets were put into place several years ago, on Juno, they have been updated and modified. We have created a new brochure for pro se parties that includes the information on how a pro se party can file a petition for relief of payment. We will be adding web links for all brochures, and to the *Find a Mediator* link, as this seems to be the location most pro se litigants review first.
- iii. MSC/FFS Quick Reference Guides.
  - 1. Court staff MSC/FFS quick reference guides have been posted on Juno, and our DRC Publications website has been updated.
- e. Conflict Resolution Week update.
  - i. Current agenda for Thursday October 17: Mediation Rules from a Mediator's Point of View the Do's and Don'ts, by Michael McDaniel; "When Things Go Wrong!" A panel discussion with the Honorable William Freemen (State Ethics Commissioner), Melvin Wright (ED of the CJCP) and myself; a reception will be held from 11-12:30, where Chief Justice Beasley will present a proclamation and say a few words; at 12:30, Ketan Soni will present an hour of CLE on technology, "How Can Technology Help Me Be A Better Mediator"; and a two-hour Active

Shooter Training by US Marshall Stephen Baldwin will begin at 1:30 pm. The NCBA DR Section has allotted a generous amount of funds to assist us with this production. The AOC Custody Mediation program is also working with us, and we are continuing to reach out to various ADR groups to join in the celebration.

- f. Set dates for upcoming meetings.
  - i. We have the Graylyn retreat scheduled for August 9<sup>th</sup>.
  - ii. Please start to consider dates for upcoming meetings in November of 2019 and February of 2020.

# 3. Committee Reports:

- a. **Executive Committee Report** Ms. Seigle
  - i. Nothing to report
- b. Standards and Advisory Opinions Committee Report Ms. Seigle / Mr. Clare
  - i. Matters previously before the Commission:
    - a. Proposed Revisions to the Report of Mediator forms and Proposed Revisions to Petition and Order for Relief from Obligation to Pay Mediator's Fee. Clare.
      - Kozlowski advised These forms have been submitted to the AOC Civil Forms Subcommittee for final review. The AOC committee has not yet held a meeting to discuss the forms.
    - b. Standard VII. Conflicts of Interest Matter. Clare.
      - Current Standard VII is not very clear. The situation this committee is looking to address is where a mediator is being asked to mediate a matter which involves a party/parties for whom the mediator had previously provided professional services to; or has had other types of professional involvement with the party/parties years before; and where the subject matter is substantially the same or is different. The committee did not address the issue of a mediator who then wants to be an attorney/professional for one of the parties. Discussion surrounded this issue may be up to the State Bar or other licensing agency to make that determination.
      - ii. We posted Standard VII for comment on the DRC website and received many comments. The biggest concern was the need to get written waivers every time a mediator mediates a case that involves an insurance company they used to represent. This was not the committee's intent in the proposed draft. The current draft version is very long

- and addresses a lot of hypothetical situations. The committee has met and decided to continue to look at the situation. Therefore, we are not asking for an adoption today, but reporting to the Commission that we will be taking more time to review and draft a potential solution.
- iii. Ms. Seigle thanked Ms. Nease Brown and Mr. Little for their assistance on the various drafts and provided input.
  - 1. We would appreciate any feedback. Every time we re-post we get a lot of comments from mediators.
- iv. Discussion. The comments received provide good, useful information. The committee is trying to balance the input of good information with providing a workable Standard. It would be easier to write if we just had one profession, but we are working with many. The committee will continue to work on the Standard VII issue.
- 2. Confidentiality Issue with staff, Standard III. Clare.
  - a. The committee is looking at Standard III to put the mediator's staff in the same position of the mediator in regard to confidentiality. The Committee is looking at a current draft, but do not have a draft proposal for the Commission at this time. We hope to have a draft for the Commission to review at the August meeting.
- c. **Mediator Certification and Training Committee** –Wood for Judge Tyson.
  - i. This committee has been busy this past quarter, we met a few times by conference calls and in-person at the March meeting.
  - ii. CME offerings approved this quarter.
    - 1. The committee approved a 2-hour CME offered by the Mediation Center in Ashville "Drilling Down: Mediators' Dilemmas". This CME was approved for a live presentation this past April, and again for this coming September.
  - iii. Applications for certification.
    - 1. The committee has not received any applications for review that have fallen outside of the application guidelines this quarter.
  - iv. Reviewed the Lapsed Policy/Dated Training Policy to ensure consistency.
    - 1. After discovering these two policies were inconsistent in their time periods, the committee revised the policies to make sure they were consistent and cut down on the subjectivity of the applicant's qualifications.
    - 2. The proposed language allows for the following:
      - a. Lapsed/dated training from 0-3 years requires verification the applicant has studied and read the current legislation, rules, standards, AOs and has two hours of CME.

- b. Lapsed/dated training from 3-10 requires the 16-hour short course.
- c. Lapsed/dated training from 10+ years requires the full 40-hour course.
- 3. Commissioners were asked to review the proposed draft language in their packets. One draft had a tracked version of each policy showing the proposed changes, and a clean version of the proposed language for easy reading.
- 4. Discussion One main reason the committee addressed these policies was to streamline them. To cut down on the subjectivity given to staff as to who qualified for what class.
  - a. A question was asked about the relationship between lapsed and inactive, and if both need to have a 16 or 40-hour course to become active. If a mediator lapses, they are no longer kept abreast of any rule, standard or AO changes; if a certified mediator elects to go inactive, they still receive all the updated information from the DRC. It was clarified that the policy changes being discussed address lapsed and dated training, not the inactive status. Inactive mediators may be reactivated after they have completed a 2-hour CME course.
  - b. A question was raised as to what the DRC is doing to educate those who are considering inactive v. lapsed status. Staff explains the difference and the benefit to all those who inquire. It is discussed and covered in the training courses, and the information is listed in the policy with the DRC's preference on going inactive verses lapsing. Staff noted with three staff members in the DRC office, we have started to call all lapsed people to make sure their decision to lapse was intentional, and if not, we help to bring them back into active status. Ms. Robinson is very diligent in sending out renewal emails, but professionals can be bombarded with correspondence, so we are trying to personally follow up. Staff keeps notes in the file on who and when they contact regarding certification status.
- Nease Brown made a motion to approve the policy revisions for lapsed and dated training. Clare seconded. Vote - all in favor. Approved.
  - a. Kozlowski they will be posted for comment for 30 days.
- v. Provisional Pre-Approval Training
  - 1. The committee pre-approved the applicant discussed at the March 1, 2019, meeting who had 18+ years as a LCSW in FL. After comparing the requirements between NC and FL, and reviewing a

letter from the applicant, she was provided an exception to the requirement to practice in NC for five years prior to submitting an application.

- vi. Provisional Pre-Training Application Policy. Discussion on revising to ensure consistency.
  - 1. The committee has begun talks about looking at the policy for provisional pre-training applicants. After a review, this policy could use some tweaking and streamlining as well, the committee will look the policy over the next quarter.

## d. Special Report from the NCBA DR Section. Clare.

- i. Seigle I would like to recognize Tom Clare and allow him to address the Commission.
- ii. Clare as you are aware, the NCBA DR Section has been working on a video of a settlement conference. We are hopeful it will be used by the DRC to help applicants fulfill their observation requirements. If the DRC approves the video to be viewed by applicants as an observation, the Commission will need to look at revising the rules.
- iii. The video is near completion, and the DR section has been great and provided all the funding thus far.
- iv. Norelli we are in the final editing stage and it is critical to the value of the video this step be completed correctly. The remaining cost has been estimated at between 2k-4k. The DR Section has about 2k, and is looking for assistance with the remaining balance.
- v. Discussion For eight years Commissioners have asked the DRC to do a video, the fact that the DR section took up this challenge means the world. This would be a great to support the DR Section. The video would benefit the community tremendously. It would be great if the Commission could contribute.
  - 1. Norelli there is just one video for MSC program, but phase two and three would potentially include FFS observation videos.
- vi. Discussion continued The DRC has said in the past, that after viewing the video we would possibly make the one video count as an observation, and if it works then possibly expand. The quality control is much higher in a video as a live observation may only last 10 minutes. It would be fabulous if we could help bring this to a close. Both former Commission members, Ann Anderson and Jackie Clare, have put a ton of work into this project and they need to be recognized.
  - 1. Norelli This video has required a large amount of volunteer time. There will be commentary throughout the video.
- vii. Discussion continued How will we know if people watch the video? It depends on where the video is posted, could it run on the DRC website?

Would it belong to the NCBA, but allowed to be viewed on the DRC website?

- 1. Norelli We will work with the section and DRC, to ensure there will be a way to verify the video is watched.
- viii. Discussion Continued We can work on this as we develop our rules. Where it will be housed, and who will take responsibility, we can figure this all out nothing in insurmountable. We need to take a look at the rule making process. The initial question was if the Commission could help with funding.
  - 1. Kozlowski The Commission is currently running in the positive, however, I will need to review the budget over lunch to confirm there are funds available.

#### e. New Media Committee – Mr. Clare

- i. Nothing to report.
  - 1. Ms. Nease Brown there is a nice social media presence for the DRC, thank you to Ms. Robinson

## f. Grievance and Disciplinary Committee - Judge Evans

i. Ms. Seigle - we will hold off on this committee report until the end of the meeting.

# g. **Civil Sub Committee** – Judge Farris

- i. Petition for Relief to Pay Mediator MSC, FFS and Clerk matters. The
  forms were included in the packet for your review, you have 6 forms total.
  A petition for relief for the MSC, FFS, and Clerk program with an original
  un-marked version for comparison.
- ii. Farris if someone submits a form for relief of payment, there are three lines to fill out and a judge rightly complains they cannot do much with this. The committee voted to modify the forms. We looked at the indigent forms which had 28 lines to fill out and thought that was too much. Therefore, we created the forms in front of you. Please review all the forms.
- iii. Discussion was held regarding filling out the affidavit of indigency, a lot of people don't fill it out and you won't get the information you are looking for, but it's a good start. A person can have a lot of debt and a ton of income. The proposed forms are better than the complicated forms, some counties use a form that is similar to this that has just enough information. A question was raised about whether a court could consider the spouse's income if they are not a party to the action. A statement was made that this form is less intrusive than assistance programs that look for income of any person in your house. There was an argument that a

- domestic judge sees all income as the family income, and it should be included.
- iv. Question was asked if the form is filed at the beginning or before the mediation or after, as the 'current spouse' could be the opposing party if not divorced yet. Suggestion was made to ask about household income instead of spouse's income. Where we could combine monthly income with current spouse and the total value of assets including any settlement. A question was raised to see if there is a way to deny the request if the form is not submitted accurately, would there be an option to deny it if it was not submitted with all required information? Response was that if an application is not accurate it is given back to the party and they are asked to resubmit it. Concerns were raised that the judge won't be present when it is filed as some judges travel and are inaccessible to consult with if the form is incorrectly filled out.
- v. Recommendation to fix the forms.
  - 1. Ask for total monthly household income from all sources (including amount of award herein).
- vi. Discussion continued You can make this more granular or as simple as you want. If their intent is to deceive, they will deceive. If they want to be true, this form is accurate. Best we can get is a general concept.
- vii. Marcilliat made a motion to approve the amended Petition for Relief forms. Nease Brown seconded. Vote all in favor. Approved.

#### 4. Ad Hoc Committee Reports –

- a. Committee on Long Range Planning LeAnn Nease Brown
  - i. Nothing to report.
- b. **FFS Certification** Judge Norelli and Robert Ponton
  - Norelli After receiving negative input from the Family Law Bar, we believe we have cured the problem - with Judge Webb's help. Webb appointed two non-commission members to the ad-hoc committee, Caldwell Barefoot and Marshall Karro. Both new appointees have looked at proposed changes to FFS Rules. The committee voted unanimously to present the changes to the full Commission.
  - ii. Plans include if this passes today Kozlowski and Judge Farris will present the proposed FFS Rule changes at the District Court Judges Conference in June. They will also present at NCBA Annual Meeting and do a blog. We have received some feedback that some mediators did not want to complete the additional training, however Andy Little pointed out that the DRC faced the same challenges with the Superior Court changes. We are not born to mediate.
  - iii. Discussion Mr. Little mentioned that before the MSC rule change requiring all MSC mediators be certified, he heard many complaints about

the requirement. However, after they took training he heard many positive remarks made by folks, he mentioned one retired judge who mentioned to him, "I didn't know until I knew." You can know all the law you know but may not be a good mediator.

- 1. Nease Brown -it would be helpful to speak to the NCBA and the Family Law Section. I will get us on the agenda.
- iv. Nease Brown made a motion to approve the proposed FFS Rule 2 and Rule 8 changes. Marcilliat seconded. Vote all in favor. Approved.
  - 1. Kozlowski to post for comment.

#### Break for Lunch –

# 3.d. **Special Report from the NCBA DR Section**. Clare. Continued.

- i. Ms. Kozlowski reported on the DRC budget at the end of Quarter three. Ms. Kozlowski reviewed the history over the past four years and stressed the need to have a surplus at the end of each fiscal year. At current projections, the DRC should have an approximate surplus of \$18k at the end of the year, providing an approximate rollover of \$120k into FY 19-20.
- ii. Mr. Clare moved to approve up to \$2,000.00 to the NCBA DR Section to assist with the completion of the settlement conference observation video. Mr. Marcilliat seconded. Ms. Nease Brown abstained in the event of a conflict of interest. Vote all in favor. Approved.

#### 4. **Ad Hoc Committee Reports** – Cont.

- c. **eCourt Committee** Kinsley Craig
  - i. Our committee had two conference calls this past quarter to discuss what AOC considers priority for the eCourt project. The committee has worked hard on this project and have worked well together.
  - ii. The work-flows were created by the committee to provide basic guidance to the eCourts team who will be creating the new platform. A copy of the workflow has been included in your packet, please take a moment to review. Please let the committee know if you have any recommended modifications to the workflow draft.
  - iii. Moving forward Staff has met with Emily Metha with the AOC and will be meeting with the AOC team again in June to ensure we are on the right path.
  - iv. Discussion noted that the work-flow does not cover AOC Hearings.

## a. Clerk Pilot Program Committee – Kozlowski for Ms. Nesbitt

i. Ms. Nesbit was not present. Ms. Kozlowski reported the pilot program is set to end in 2019. Staff is preparing to contact all four counties participating in the pilot program to determine if the program was of any benefit to the participating district.

#### b. Award Committee – LeAnn Nease Brown

- Committee met on May 15, 2019, to discuss and review NC State Bar
  Distinguished Member Award for comparison. After a thorough
  discussion, the committee voted against the DRC presenting an award.
  The committee wished to remain only as a regulatory body and to dissolve
  the committee.
  - Ms. Nease Brown moved to accept the committee's findings and dissolve the committee. Clare seconded. Vote – all in favor. Approved.

#### c. Legal Advice Committee – Marcilliat for Judge Knight

- i. At a prior meeting Judge Webb appointed us to look into legal advice and what is legal advice in the context of mediation. This is a pandora's box fraught with peril. The committee will need to look into this further. Mr. Marcilliat will be doing more research. There are other states that provide a lot of guidance, however NC does not provide any.
- ii. We are very open to ideas and comments. Judge Knight recently attended a CME by Judge Cash and witnessed a heated debate on this issue. It is a hot topic, and we are continuing to work on it.

# 5. Ex Officio Reports –

- a. **Mediation Network** Ms. Estle
  - The MNNC had a mediation network meeting this past quarter. She also invited Kozlowski down to Fayetteville to view the mediation process from our perspective. Terri Masiello is working on a unified training manual for all network mediators.

#### b. Court Staff – Ms. Kozlowski for Ms. Nesbitt

- i. Statistics for MSC, FFS, and Arbitration Programs
  - 1. FFS out of 749 total cases being reported, 478 of the cases resolved and 54 cases were partially resolved, or 532 cases resolved. 217 of the cases ended in impasse. Seven districts did not report. Providing a 71% settlement rate, 29% impasse rate.
  - 2. MSC out of 2710 total cases being reported. 1642 cases resolved, and 1068 cases ended in impasse. All districts reporting. Providing a 61% settlement rate, 39% impasse rate.
- ii. Question asked about the 30% of cases that don't resolve in mediation but settle before trial. Can we track this? Ms. Kozlowski advised that we are not able to track these statistics at this time, but we should be able to track when eCourts is implemented.

## c. NC Court Managers Conference – Ms. Craig/Ms. Leazer

- Craig at the March 1, 2019, meeting, I was asked to provide an update with the survey results from the Court Managers Conference at this meeting. I have received comments from the presentation by Ms. Kozlowski, some are good, and some are very concerning. I am concerned that some of the TCA may go rogue and not report their stats.
- ii. Ms. Craig I want to make sure you all know that the TCAs are at the discretion of their judges and chiefs. If they want their staff to veer away from the rules, that is something a TCA would have to deal with. Our next conference is in December, so we have time to work on a solution. DRC rules are often viewed as guidelines.
- iii. Ms. Kozlowski –I wanted to make sure everyone knew the rules. I did not mean to offend, and I was not trying to upset anyone. I do think it is important to receive the perspective of those in attendance at the conference.
- iv. Discussion. The purpose of this is to build a relationship with court staff, and it may take more meetings to get a better understanding on how the DRC and the TCAs work. These are rules not suggestions. We need to help the judges understand this. TCAs respond to their judges more than to the Commission. To follow-up, the rules for the programs are not guidelines, these are rules approved by the NC Supreme Court.
- v. A discussion was held as to why there isn't someone from court managers on the Commission. It was noted we do have multiple judges on the Commission. A suggestion was made to reach out to the AOC to request that some training about the DRC program rules be added to the new judges training course.
- vi. Ms. Kozlowski will follow up with Judge Webb about the discussion to add a seat to the Commission for the court managers and to look into offering more training for judges.

## d. NCBA Dispute Resolution Section – Judge Norelli

- i. Norelli we have a new paralegal division –they are looking for volunteers to help Ms. Kozlowski with conflict resolution week. The NCBA DR Section held its annual meeting in Charlotte toward the end of March, Ms. Kozlowski gave a very well received presentation on the rules. The section hopes Ms. Kozlowski will be able to attend annually to provide an update and review of the rules. Additionally, we would like to invite Ms. Robinson to the meeting next year as she is an integral part of the DRC. We have contributed \$500.00 to conflict of resolution week. We are currently making arrangements to introduce Ms. Kozlowski to the board of governors with the NCBA.
- ii. Barbara (Bonnie) Weyher is the incoming chair for the NCBA DR Section. She will introduce Ms. Kozlowski at the next state bar meeting.

- iii. Thank you for allowing me to be an ex-officio member to this commissionI have really enjoyed that. This is my last meeting, so I have some suggestions.
  - 1. Someone should attend the State Bar Ethics Committee meetings to understand what they are grappling with. It is an open meeting, and the DRC should reach out to the committee that has formed between State Bar and NCBA. Chaired by Roberta King. A project has sprung out for access to all courtrooms in the state.

#### e. **Industrial Commission** – Mr. Schafer

- i. Things are rolling along nicely at the Industrial Commission. The current settlement rate at mediation conferences is on track to exceed 72.5% for the fifth straight fiscal year. Prior to the 2014-15 fiscal year the annual settlement rate at mediation conferences had exceeded 72.5% only once during the initial twenty years of the program. The overall settlement rate which includes cases that settle prior to scheduled mediation conferences is over 76%.
- ii. Great settlement rates based on great mediators; Judge Walker is one.
- iii. The IC Educational Conference will be held October 2-4, 2019, at the Raleigh Convention Center with two hours of mediation training and one hour of CME credit anticipated on Oct 3rd. More information is available on the IC website.
- f. **Court of Appeals** Judge Tyson not present, no report.
- g. **Legislation Liaison** Kozlowski for Mr. Laney
  - i. HB 226. approved in the house Judiciary committee, finance committee and rules/calendar and operations committee. Passed the house 109-0. It this has been referred to the Committee on Rules and Operations in the Senate.
  - ii. HB 611. This bill didn't make cross over, so it's done. There is no action to take.
- 6. **Update on next meeting** Ms. Robinson next meeting is at the Graylyn. Email will be sent out requesting dates for the Fall and Winter meetings.

Continue from Committee Reports –

- a. Grievance and Disciplinary Committee Judge Evans
  - i. New Policy regarding failure to response to a complaint, that was approved at November 2018, meeting is now in effect.
  - ii. Update on complaint activity.

- 1. Mediator W was issued a public written admonishment, Mediator Appealed. Hearing was held yesterday on May 16, 2019. Outcome to be determined.
- iii. Update on conduct, fitness update on applicant issues (character concerns raised by staff);
  - 1. Application received where applicant X failed to fully disclose past conduct. Applicant was denied certification by GDC committee and applicant has appealed the decision. Staff has reached out to Kathryn Shields with the AG's office, who will be representing the Commission at the hearing. The hearing date has yet to be set. Staff will send out information on the hearing as soon as it is available. Please respond to staff as we will need a minimum of three Commission Members for the hearing.
  - 2. Staff received an application from a lapsed mediator, applicant Y, this past quarter. Applicant Y was originally certified in 2009 and lapsed in FY 2017-18. Applicant Y fully and accurately disclosed a past bar grievance on his current application. The grievance was filed with the State Bar against Applicant Y in 2015 and was dismissed a few months later. Applicant Y accurately renewed his certification in 2016 by stating there was no *pending* grievance filed against him at that time. However, applicant Y failed to notify Commission staff within 30 days of receiving notice that a grievance was filed against him mid-year. The full committee reviewed the matter and determined to approve his application, but to include a letter of notice of the prior rule violation.
    - a. Judge Evans this issue has come up before, people are forgetting to provide notice to staff. This committee needs to look at whether the rule needs to be modified. It was suggested we modify the DRC rules to move the notice to 30 days from the date the Bar response was due.
- iv. Rule IX.E(13) modification update.
  - 1. It was brought to this committee's attention the current version of Rule IX.E(13) called for a two-year waiting period before a disciplined mediator could seek reinstatement, unless otherwise agreed upon by this committee. The committee decided to look at this rule to remove the language requiring the grace period for disciplined mediators.
  - 2. Upon investigation, it was discovered the Commission had previously made this modification at some point in the past five years, as they have already been incorporated the change into the rules that are now before the Supreme Court for approval.
- v. Provisional Pre-Approval Application.

- 1. Staff received a provisional pre-approval application from an attorney, provisional applicant Z, who is licensed and practiced in California for many years. The applicant is 74 years old and has had numerous tax liens filed against him between the years 1990-2014, nine of which remain outstanding. Applicant Z was forthcoming about the liens and acknowledged he had lived above his means while in California. Applicant Z states he has no assets, therefore the IRS has deemed him as an "uncollectable party". Applicant Z acknowledges he has no means to repay the liens and has moved to NC for a better cost of living and to be closer to family. Staff recommended the pre-approval of applicant Z and sought guidance from the Chair pursuant to the Commission's Provisional Guidelines. The Chair agreed with staff's recommendation.
- vi. Update on McDaniel matter. Judge Evans requested this to go into executive session and asked for comments prior to closing the room.
  - Ms. Leazer I am all for it he is ready to come back, he has done
    more for the DRC than for the State Bar. TCAs are ready to have
    him back.
  - 2. Discussion held in executive session.
  - 3. Judge Evans made a motion to reinstate Mr. McDaniel. Ms. Nease Brown seconded. Vote all in favor. Approved.
- 7. **Adjournment** Ms. Seigle



## **Dispute Resolution Commission**

# Quarterly Meeting Friday, March 1, 2019 10:00 AM

# Washington Duke Inn Durham, NC

The Honorable William Webb, Chair, called the meeting to Order.

Commission Members present: Webb, Tyson, Nadolski, Clare, Griffiths, Seigle, Hill, Wood, Farris, Evans, Knight, Hicks, Marcilliat, Isley, and Gottlieb.

Ex-officio members present: Estle, Norelli, Craig (after lunch), Leazer, Schafer, and Laney.

Staff present: Robinson, Brooks and Kozlowski.

Guests present: Richard Igou, Ketan Soni and Dr. Michael DeValve.

With regrets, Commission Members not present: Nease Brown, and Ponton.

A quorum was present at the meeting.

- 5. Welcome and Announcements Judge Webb
  - a. Introduction of new commission member, Judge Richard Gottlieb
    - i. Administration of Oath Judge Tyson.
    - ii. Webb asked Judge Gottlieb to provide a brief introduction of himself to the rest of the Commission.
  - b. Introduction of new Staff Member, Mary Brooks
    - i. Webb asked Mary Brooks to introduce herself to the rest of the Commission.
    - ii. Webb discussed conversation with Leslie Ratliff at her exit interview, where Ms. Ratliff pointed out that staff needed a third person. Kozlowski showed the Commission could afford this financially.
  - c. Held 6-month review with Kozlowski, Webb recommended a salary increase and will review her position again in 6 months
  - d. Approval of November Minutes Judge Webb
    - i. Marcilliat made a motion to approve November 2018 meeting minutes. Hicks seconded. Vote all members in favor. Approved.

- 6. Office Report Ms. Kozlowski
  - a. Staff new hire, Mary Brooks. In December of 2018, the DRC posted an open Secretary I position on the AOC employment site. The advertisement ran for 5 days, we received 79 applications. Maureen and I spent a few days reviewing the applications and selected 7 applicants to interview. I am happy to report, Ms. Mary Brooks accepted our offer for employment for a part-time position working 20 hours a week. She will be in the office on M, T, R, and F.
  - b. The Annual Report for 2017-2018 FY went live and is posted on our website.
  - c. Redistricting. As of January 1, 2019, the NC Superior Court and District Court districts have been reassigned. We have encouraged all mediators to update their profile information and reminded court staff to pull new court appointment lists reflecting the updated information. Please see the maps in your packet.
  - d. Civilian Response to Active Shooter Event training. At the last meeting, Ms. Nesbit recalled an excellent training course from the court staff conference, taught by US Marshall, Stephen Baldwin. I have reached out to Mr. Baldwin and he is willing to provide the training. There is a trainer for each district, middle/western/eastern. Mr. Baldwin is the trainer for the Western District but is willing to assist and help coordinate training for us. The training is free and typically lasts 3 hours. To warrant this training, I thought it would be best to coordinate with other organizations.
    - i. Conflict Resolution Week is coming around again in October. I reached out to Stephanie Smith with the Custody Mediation Program to talk about coordinating a multi-training course event for this event. We are working on developing a two-day training event that will meet the individual needs of our organizations (two hours of CME) along with break out training sessions that will be of interest to those involved in dispute resolution, including the active shooter training. We intend to invite other dispute resolution programs into the mix as well.
    - ii. I have been working with Seigle on an idea for a CME on 'what could go wrong' in mediation. Mike McDaniel, mediator, has also offered to teach a one-hour CME on the rules. If anyone is interested in presenting a course, or any ideas for topics, please let me know.
    - iii. Maureen has reserved all the conference rooms and board rooms for Thurs and Friday, October 17 & 18, and sent out invitations to the governor and chief justice.
  - e. Rule changes have been submitted to the Supreme Court. All rules and the standards changes have been submitted to the SC and will be done so on an annual basis moving forward. Thank you all for your patience. Once approved, all publications will need to be updated.
  - f. Presentations by DRC Staff. I had the opportunity to be a guest speaker for Mr. Laney's Mediation Advocacy course at Campbell Law while he was himself teaching mediation in the Ukraine. I was also able to speak at the Court Staff

- Conference this past Wednesday where reviewed the FFS and MSC Rules. I presented court staff with a 15-page Quick Reference guide in both the FFS and MSC programs.
- g. Spanish Brochure. A few Spanish brochures were on display as they have been translated and distributed to the Mediation Centers. We are in the process of translating the other program brochures as well. In addition, Robinson has been working on updating all of the brochures to match the judicial branch's look.
- h. Discussion of Mediator Awards. I spoke to Jonathan Harris, AOC legal counsel, regarding the DRC's ability to present this award, and a Mediator of the Year award. He found no issue, but recommend we set a policy into place that provides for a committee to select the recipient that will automatically be recused from any future disciplinary complaint that may be brought against a recipient. Discussed plan for handling future discipline of award recipient, determined best practice would be to create a group/committee to present award that is separate from Grievance Committee.
  - i. Discussion Webb is agnostic about this. Would like to appoint a committee. At the November 2018 meeting Webb indicated if this passed legal, then Nease Brown would chair a committee to consider if the Commission wants to present awards. Question was asked as to what criteria was to be considered when presenting an award. Recommendation was made to have each judicial district presenting an award in their own district. Kozlowski advised that AOC Legal commented this would be fine but could create a lot of work. Webb stated we can craft this anyway we want, or not do it at all. The discussion moved around this being equivalent to the attorney of the year award, again not sure what criteria we could use. State bar also does young attorney award. Webb pointed out that we are not as prestigious as the Bar and will not rival the Bar. It was pointed out that staff is seen as the DRC, there is no difference, so coming from staff or from the DRC would provide the same result. Webb requests if Commission determines to move forward with an award that it come from the body rather than staff. Suggestion was made to look at criteria for the peace award that takes its vote from the full board.
  - ii. Webb appoints Clare, Norelli and Griffiths to committee to be chaired by Nease Brown.
- i. Housekeeping: forms adjusted to reflect new website address. Please note a few forms have been updated by the Civil Forms Subcommittee to reflect the DRC's new web address. The forms are listed on the email within your packet from Paul Lachance.
  - i. Regarding forms, staff received a call from court staff requesting to modify the Petition for Relief form. A petitioner filed a motion seeking relief, and all parties appeared in court. However, the petitioner did not bring any financial information with him to court and the judge was quite frustrated with the whole process as there was nothing but testimony to rule on. The

- DRC looked at 'beefing up' this form when the S&AO committee was reviewing the Indigency rule but has since been dropped.
- ii. Webb this should be sent through to the proper committee to review and discuss
- iii. Kozlowski I will send out to Judge Farris and the civil subcommittee for consideration.
- j. Staff has received a few inquiries about creating an open forum for discussions of the posted items for comment. The idea being to hold a conversation rather than sending a comment by email. An open forum would provide the ability to see other's statements and their point of view, then discuss. The re-draft of Standard VII after posting could have benefited from a conversation from those who practice mediation on a regular basis. However, providing a listsery for comment could be opening a can of worms by inviting discussions on issues we are not staffed to regulate.
  - i. Discussion Webb: we have adequate standards set in place, not sure an interactive form is the best way, and I would be opposed to it. Comments from Members were provided as to listserv's having a lot of problems. For example, the Family Law Listserv goes into many branches, and become overburdensome. Additional comments regarding violation of confidentiality. Consensus was against modifying current policy on comments.
  - ii. Webb If someone wants to present a proposal, they are welcome to come before the Commission.
- k. Budget While reviewing the budget over the past few months to determine if the DRC could afford a third staff member, I discovered an error in the retirement contribution line money has been erroneously pulled from our account for the past 8 months. Bud Jennings, CPA with the AOC is now aware of the issue and working on replacing the funds to our account.

## 7. Committee Reports:

- a. Executive Committee Report Judge Webb
  - i. There is nothing to report this quarter.
- b. Standards and Advisory Opinions Committee Report Seigle
  - i. Rule 7, Indigency form modifications to review instruction for mediator to file the Petition for Relief for a party. During the November meeting we voted to leave Rule 7 alone regarding indigency, however we determined there was no need to obligate the mediator to file the Petition for Relief on behalf of a party, and by doing so may raise issues of bias and impartiality. Therefore, we voted to remove the language to attach the Petition to Relief from each of the Report of Mediator forms and removed the instruction from the Petition for Relief form.
    - 1. Proposed Revisions to Report of Mediator for MSC, FFS and Clerk mediations: AOC-CV-813; AOC-CV-827; and AOC-G-303.

- Proposed Revisions to Petition and Order for Relief from Obligation to Pay Mediator's Fee: AOC-CV-814; AOC-CV-828; and AOC-G-306
- 3. Webb is prepared to accept a motion to approve. Clare made a motion to approve all forms. Gottlieb seconded.
  - a. Discussion. This matter has been discussed previously at length. A question was posed of how pro se parties will know about this if the mediator does not share it with them. This issue is understood however, the committee is concerned a mediator filing a form on behalf of a party could raise issue of impartially and bias. It was brought to the Members attention, in small claims court there is an instruction sheet on how to fill out the forms. Webb if the motion is approved, I will have Kozlowski work with Hicks to put together something for pro se parties.
- 4. Marcilliat made a motion to adopt the forms, Evans seconded. Vote all members in favor. Approved.
- ii. Standard VII. At the November meeting, we voted to approve a standard change to Standard VII, Conflicts of Interest, allowing for a knowing and intelligent waiver in certain circumstances. We received many comments, that are in your packet for your review. Based on the number of comments, and quality of comments, the original drafters met and attempted to draft a new version. The proposed draft of the standard change has been approved by the committee. The committee recommends this new version be approved and posted for comment. Please take a moment to review. Seigle referred to Clare for additional comments.
  - 1. Clare reviewed the history of the committee meeting to discuss the comments, issues and draft a new version.
  - 2. Discussion of need to modify paragraph 3 as "practice" within the paragraph could be read in a broad manner to include any member of the state bar as being conflicted out. The current proposal needs to limit conflicts to a mediator's firm.
    - a. S&AO committee decided to table the matter and meet over lunch to re-work the proposed draft.
- iii. New Matters Confidentiality Issue with staff, Standard III. Seigle asked Kozlowski to comment on this issue. Kozlowski There has been some discussion on the Bar's Dispute Resolution Section Listserv asking if staff are included under the confidentiality Standard, Standard III, which states "[a] mediator shall not disclose, directly or indirectly, to any non-participant, any information..." A strict reading of the Standard indicates staff are not able to handle notes from a mediation or be aware of any information from the mediation itself. The listserv responses were varied but contained a lot of fast responses assuming the DRC held the same

standards as the NC Bar, i.e. to allow staff to be within the cone of confidentiality. The S&AO committee has met and discussed this issue, and determined we need to expand the rule to allow for staff members to have access to information produced at a mediation. We should have a draft proposal for you at the May meeting.

- 1. Discussion. Webb clarified this is the act of volition regarding dispensing of the confidential information and not merely having access to a file room. A comment was made that a lot of mediators have staff and need help with maintaining files.
- 2. Webb- asked the S&AO committee to take a look at this and then send it out to the full Commission for any additional comments. Webb noted, the Commission can deal with this by email if that is the preference. With just a little tweaking we can reaffirm our current position or propose a change.
- c. Mediator Certification and Training Committee Judge Tyson
  - i. There have been two CME offerings approved this quarter.
    - 1. N.C. Association of Professional Family Mediators live presentation being recorded by AOC to be posted for future viewing (free). Presented by Andy Little.
    - 2. NCBA Dispute Resolution Section Annual Meeting live presentation being recorded by NCBA to be posted for future viewing (fees involved). Presented by Tara Kozlowski
  - ii. Applications for certification.
    - 1. DRC staff received an application from a LCSW from FL. She is a LCSW in NC as well but does not have the 5 years practice experience in NC. However, she has 18 years of practical experience in her field in FL. Staff researched the matter and provided the committee with verification the requirements for FL and NC licensure mirror each other, and the licensing exam is utilized in both states. The Committee requested letters of recommendations from applicant and a brief summary of her history in mediation. The applicant is in the process of collecting referrals.
      - a. Kozlowski please follow up with applicant to receive the letters of recommendation.
    - 2. Provisional Pre-Training Application was received by former judge who completed the 40-hour training and required observations 13 years ago. The applicant requested a waiver of the 40-hour course, seeking to take the 16-hour course only, as he regularly and consistently mediated Court of Appeals pending cases for a four-year period after completing his training. The applicant was never certified by the DRC but had completed all requirements to obtain certification. The applicant has also served as a District Court Judge, two years with the US Department of Justice to establish Rule of

Law Courts in Iraq and has been actively serving as a Resident Superior Court Judge for the past 10 years until December 2018, when he retired.

- a. The committee met and determined in a split 3-1 vote to require the full 40-hour course. The majority of the committee's position that while the applicant had prior experience in mediation and met all other requirements for certification, it was for only a 4-year period which was more than 8 years ago. As such, the majority of the committee felt this did not rise to the level of substantial involvement to warrant waiving the full 40-hour course.
- 3. It was discovered during this review the Dated Training and Lapsed policies do not mirror each other. The committee is going to review this issue as well as the definition of "substantial involvement" in mediation in order to qualify for a waiver of the full 40-hour course.
  - a. Committee will meet today over lunch. We need to define substantial involvement to clarify and further define and refine the amount of activities and involvement someone would need to have under a 16-hour course or whether a 40hour course is preferred.
- iii. Waiver of 6-hour legal terminology course for NC certified paralegal applicant. Chair determined waiver of 6-hour legal terminology course was acceptable for a NC certified paralegal applicant with substantial managerial experience.
- iv. Committee revisited the DCC waiver of required observations (2) and comediate 3 mediations if substantial exposure to DCC mediations for 5 years.
  - 1. Tyson deferred to staff Robinson explained history of previous chair who allowed applicants who had "at least five years with substantial exposure to DCC cases, including having conducted a significant number of cases" to waive this requirement. The current Committee agreed with the previous chair. The District Criminal Court Rules that were recently submitted to the Supreme Court contain changes allowing folks with substantial mediation experience to waive the observation and co-mediation requirements.
- v. FFS Basics of Family Law Requirement (Rule 8.A) NCBA has a 2018 version that has posted for applicants to view at a discounted rate of \$99.00 (have two weeks to view). The Policy has been updated to reflect this change.
- d. Grievance and Disciplinary Committee Judge Evans
  - At the November meeting, this committee proposed a policy to provide for consequences if a mediator fails to respond to a Complaint. The Commission voted to approve the policy, and the policy was posted for comment. We only received one comment, which is in your packet.

- 1. Seigle made a motion to approve policy. Nadolski seconded. Vote all members in favor. Approved.
- ii. Update on complaint activity.
  - 1. On November 30, 2018, this committee determined to issue Mediator X a public written admonishment and required Mediator X to meet with Commissioner, Debbie Griffiths, or staff, Tara Kozlowski, to review the Program Rules and Standards.
    - a. Mediator X provided staff a written request for an extension to appeal the decision and requested to negotiate the terms of the sanctions pursuant to Rule IX.D.(3)(c). The Committee granted Mediator's request to reconsider sanctions issued, and the 30-day extension for the appeal period to allow for negotiations was granted. After negotiations, the Committee denied Mediator X's request to modify sanctions.
    - b. Mediator X has appealed this decision. The Commission will hold a hearing on the matter on Thursday, May 16<sup>th</sup>, the day before our next quarterly meeting. Please note, we need a minimum of three Commission Members to hear the matter. Please let staff know if you are able to attend, if you have not already done so.
    - c. At least 30 days prior to the hearing, all Members who are scheduled to attend the hearing will receive a packet of documents that the Grievance committee reviewed in making its determination.
    - d. Please note, this matter is to remain confidential until the hearing, and there is to be no *Ex Parte* Communication allowed among the Commission Members regarding the subject matter of the appeal. Please direct any scheduling concerns to staff.
    - e. Webb please let Kozlowski know if you are able to attend.
    - f. Kozlowski I spoke to Kathryn Shields, attorney from AG's office who will be representing the DRC, the hearing will start at 2:00 pm on May 16<sup>th</sup>.
  - 2. A complaint was filed this quarter against Mediator Y for providing legal advice to a party. Staff investigated the matter by speaking to the complainant and the complainant's attorney who was present at all times during the mediation. This committee reviewed the complaint, the mediator's response, a statement from the complainant's attorney, an audio recording provided by the complainant of a conversation between herself and her attorney, and staff's recommendation. This committee determined there was no probable cause and dismissed the complaint.

- a. Discussion The question was posed: what is the definition of legal advice? Clerks are told can cite the statue but not advise on procedure. Other Members agreed with this description. Webb let's take a look at this.
- b. Webb appoints Judge Knight to head ad hoc committee, with Gottlieb, Marcilliat.
- i. Update on conduct, fitness update on applicant issues (character concerns raised by staff).
  - a. The committee is meeting over lunch to discuss; therefore, these matters will be held until after lunch.
- e. New Media Committee -Clare
  - i. Modifications and updates to website. The Mediator's Toolbox has been updated. We are continuing to work on the website to make improvements. Ms. Robinson has done a great job working with IT at the AOC.
  - ii. AOC's position on enhancements to new applications renewal period. Clare deferred to Robinson. Robinson there has been a lot of activity at the AOC with the Chief Justice and Director at the AOC leaving at the end of February. Additionally, the AOC is looking to implement the eCourts system in the upcoming years and as such have frozen all requests for application enhancements. We are working with IT to bypass the freeze as our request will not have a negative effect on the eCourts system. Our IT person is going to meet with Brad Fowler to request the application enhancement be approved. Webb I will sit down with new AOC director and talk to them about the request as well.
- 8. Ad Hoc Committee Reports:
  - a. Committee on Long Range Planning LeAnn Nease Brown.
    - i. Not present, nothing to report.
  - b. FFS certification Nancy Norelli and Robert Ponton
    - i. Norelli this is an interesting assignment. We are not ready to bring a proposal to the full Commission, but we are getting closer. We have had many conversations with the family bar, esp. Ponton's partner as she is the chair of the Family Bar. The proposal we have been working on is in your packet, please see the lose color version of Rule 8 as we made last minute revisions to the Rule change. The latest version includes a better description of the 16-hour course. The main piece of the certification is that they will have to take a 16-hour course and not a 40-hour course. We want this change to be clear for whoever is reading these rules, so they understand what they need to do. There has been pushback about the cost of being certified. We are considering possibly offering training through the Commission at a reduced rate. The other thing we are looking at closely is how to roll out this program. How do we get the rule right and how to get the buy in of the judiciary? We have asked Judge Farris to join the committee to assist with

introducing this change to the judiciary. The new chief justice is a former district court judge, and we believe this will help our cause. Our goal is to have this nailed down by the judge's conference in June, then present it to the bar. We had a great suggestion on how to market this new concept by reminding all that what goes on in superior court should go on in district court. That the DRC wants to equalize the Superior Court Rules with the District Court Rules. Unfortunately, Ponton and Nease Brown send their regrets as they are not able to attend today.

- 1. Discussion Webb I want to thank you it is a very sensitive topic, and you have met this task in such a great way to get approval from the bar. It is very difficult to introduce a new regulatory matter to those who believe they are competent at doing what they have done for years. One of the reasons I joined this commission was due to being told I needed to take a 40-hour course. I was disappointed as my experience and history was vast. Kozlowski advised the Commission this has been one of the busiest committee's this quarter and they have worked extremely hard to get this right. They have gone so far as to request a cursory review of the proposed language by Andy Little and Frank Laney, as they were involved in the similar MSC Rule change in 2006. Thank you to all for your hard work.
- c. NCBA Dispute Resolution Section Report Judge Norelli
  - i. We have an upcoming meeting, I encourage you to register. Guest speaker Raymond Owens, from Higgins and Owens, is very entertaining.
  - ii. We also have the observation video coming out soon, created by Ann Anderson and Jackie Clare, that is beautifully scripted. They have done a wonderful job. We are very excited about presenting this video to the DRC to use for an observation requirement.
  - iii. Webb can we get the email link to the Section meeting? Some of the Commission Members may want to go.
    - 1. Robinson sent the link out to all Members and Ex-officio Members during the meeting.
- d. eCourt Committee Kinsley Craig
  - i. No report currently.
- e. Clerk Pilot Program Committee Nesbitt
  - i. Not present, nothing to report.

## 9. Ex Officio Reports:

- a. Mediation Network Estle.
  - i. We have an upcoming meeting with the Mediation Network on March 26. Dr. Michael DeValve, president of the board is with us today.
    - 1. Webb please give Kozlowski the information for the meeting on that date. There are a lot of things we will show up for if we know

about them, there are also things we will not show up for, but we would like to be a part of this group and given the option.

- b. Court Staff Ms. Nesbitt
  - i. Not present, nothing to report.
- c. Industrial Commission Schafer
  - i. We have a new chair, Philip Baddour, and a new vice chair, Myra Griffin. This could change as the appointment powers are in litigation and the Supreme Court has not had their final say on the matter. The Industrial Commission's Educational Conference is coming up. We will have a mediation component. You are all welcome to attend. With the mediation program, we are doing well, keeping busy with a high settlement rate.
- d. Court of Appeals Judge Tyson
  - i. The Court of Appeals is losing Judge Elmore and Judge Calabria and will lose Judge Hunter as well in the next few months. We are taking the position that all judges will mediate cases. We will have a very small 8-hour training on March 18, for the new judges who are not mediators. The training will be presented by Diann Seigle, Judge Webb, and Frank Laney.
  - ii. Senate and house have voted to restore the 3 seats on the bench, so there will no longer be a reduction from 15 to 12 seats. When Judge Hunter retires in March, his seat will continue. Any new judge coming on will be required to take on new mediations. There have been issues in the past where not all judges mediated cases. Hopefully with all the judges mediating, more issues will be resolved.
- e. Federal Courts Laney
  - i. Nothing to report for the Federal Courts.
  - ii. Met with Mildred Spearman, AOC legislative liaison.
    - 1. Regarding legislation, Kozlowski and I had a very productive meeting with Mildred Spearman, legislative liaison with the AOC, to introduce a tiny piece of legislation we are hoping to get passed. We submitted a large piece of legislation to allow the commission to sanction a no-show for a hearing. We were vague in the language, so the proposal was denied. The commission has since passed the new proposed language last year, and Mildred Spearman is working on sending this through.
  - iii. On a side note, I travel to eastern Europe and teach in the Ukraine. Basic training in Europe is 90 hours, and if you want to work in a specific program they need additional time.

#### Break for Lunch

- f. NC Court Managers Conference Craig
  - i. We had a Court Management this week at the Duke Inn. Kozlowski spoke at the conference; the attendees are filling out surveys and we would like to

refer to the survey. We have enjoyed the Washington Duke Inn, and thank you Judge Webb for talking to us this morning. It was a very successful conference.

## 10. Committee Reports, conclusion:

- a. Mediator Certification and Training Committee Judge Tyson
  - Our committee met over lunch to look at the dated training policy and lapsed training policy. The committee has come to an agreement on the terms for training required.
    - 1. If under three years, demonstrate compliance and be reinstated. 3-10 years, 16-hour refresher. Over 10 years, the 40-hour course will be required. If substantial involvement, then you don't have to complete the observations.
    - 2. Discussion Webb what is substantial involvement? Comment made that Kozlowski created a list to consider if qualify under substantial involvement. Committee decided on a minimum of 4 mediations per year to qualify.
    - 3. Webb Are you ready to do a motion?
    - 4. Tyson Ms. Robinson asked:
      - a. Are you looking at out-of-state training too, will they need the full 40-hour course? Yes, unless they are fully mediating in another state, then they can do the 16-hour case.
    - 5. Committee determined they are not ready for a vote at this time but hope to present at the May meeting to the full Commission.
- b. FFS certification Committee Kozlowski for Norelli
  - i. Kozlowski this committee met over lunch, and Norelli sends her regrets as she was not able to stay. The committee would like to move slow and talk to the District Court Judges before presenting this to the Bar. I am going to ask to be added to their agenda for their June Conference.
  - ii. Webb Norelli has requested to add an attorney, non-certified, family law mediator to the committee. This is a great idea.
- c. Grievance and Disciplinary Committee Judge Evans
  - i. This committee met over lunch as we had a few items to discuss.
  - ii. Potential applicant Y has provided staff with a provisional pre-approval application. Upon review Mediator Y has multiple misdemeanor convictions in his distant past, from 1997: one DWI; two No Operator's License convictions; and a Misdemeanor Probation Violation. Staff consulted with the chair of the committee, pursuant to the DRC Guidelines for Issuing Provisional Pre-Training Approvals. The chair considered the potential applicant's provisional pre-approval application, email correspondence between staff and potential applicant Y regarding his criminal history, and staff's recommendation. The potential applicant claimed to have a DWI from one glass of champagne, which is concerning,

however the file is so old staff was not able to obtain a copy of the shuck. Due to the nature of all but one of the convictions arising out of the same issue, and all convictions being so dated, the chair recommended to issue Potential Applicant Y a provisional pre-approval letter.

- iii. Applicant Z submitted an application for recertification. Kozlowski and Griffiths are recused and were removed from the meeting during this discussion.
  - 1. The Grievance Committee met with Robinson, as Kozlowski has been recused, to discuss this applicant. The applicant did not fully disclose information on her application regarding tax liens, and bar complaints. As the applicant was not candid, the committee determined not to certify the applicant.
- iv. Mr. McDaniel was suspended for one-year due to his inability to comply with the rules and manage his cases. His year suspension is up in April of this year. He has requested to be allowed to submit his application for reinstatement one-year after the date of his suspension pursuant to IX.E.(13) of the DRC Rules. The committee met over lunch to discuss his request and has determined to allow him to seek recertification after one year.
  - 1. The committee would like to consider and exam this rule, as it contradicts the committee's original intention of a one-year suspension.
  - 2. Webb I think this is a good idea. The Rule allows for the Commission or the committee to make this decision and since the committee has voted, the Commission does not need to take any action.
- d. Standards and Advisory Opinions Committee Report Seigle
  - i. Clare the language has been clarified, and Paragraph 3. now reads:

"If the mediator is disqualified under this Section, all members of the mediator's professional business entity through which the mediator conducts their professional practice are disqualified from serving as the mediator in the dispute."

- 1. Discussion The word professional is used twice. Committee responded with this is the only option to be clear. Additional comment that we are barring other attorneys in the practice, not other mediators. Thanks for making the change.
- 2. Marcilliat made a motion to adopt proposed changes to Standard VII, Evans seconded. Vote all members in favor. Approved.
- 3. Seigle Kozlowski will post this new version for 30 days.
- ii. The Petition for Relief from the Mediators Fee should go through the Civil Subcommittee.

- 1. Webb Kozlowski please send to this committee to determine if the forms need to be addressed.
- 2. Discussion please take into consideration the timing, often a person's financial position can change during a mediation.
- 11. Update on next meeting Robinson
  - a. We can get the Greylyn in Winston Salem for August 9, 2019, to hold our annual retreat conference.
  - b. Webb- please let Robinson know if this is conflict or if you can attend.
- 12. Adjournment Judge Webb